Statistical Systems in English and Uzbek Morphology

Tursunova Nurafshon Jurakul kizi

1st year Master's student of the Foreign languages and literature faculty, University of Economics and Pedagogy
tursunovanurafshon08@gmail.com

Khushmonova Sh.I.

Senior Lecturer of the Department of Foreign Languages at IPU NTM, University of Economics and Pedagogy

Abstract. *This article explores the statistical systems of morphology in English and Uzbek, with a focus on the distribution and frequency of morphemes, the role of affixation, and the differences in word formation processes between these two languages. English, an analytic language, relies on a relatively simple system of affixation and word order to convey grammatical meaning. In contrast, Uzbek, an agglutinative language, uses an extensive system of suffixes to form complex words, allowing for more compact expressions of meaning. By analyzing corpus data, this paper examines how morphological elements in both languages are used and how they contribute to sentence structure and meaning. The comparison provides insights into the linguistic typology of English and Uzbek and has practical implications for language learning, translation, and computational linguistics.*

Key words: *affixation, morphemes, agglutinative language, derivation, morphological systems, aspect.*

Introduction

Morphological analysis is central to understanding the internal structure of languages. Morphology deals with the formation and combination of words, which are made up of smaller units called morphemes. While English and Uzbek are both members of the larger Indo-European and Turkic language families, they differ significantly in their morphological systems. English, as an analytic language, depends on a fixed word order and auxiliary verbs to indicate grammatical relationships, with a relatively simple system of inflection. In contrast, Uzbek, an agglutinative language, employs a complex system of affixes to mark grammatical relations and meaning, allowing for more flexibility in sentence structure.

This paper aims to compare and contrast the statistical distribution of morphemes in English and Uzbek, focusing on their affixation processes and the frequency of inflectional and derivational morphemes. By utilizing corpus data, this study identifies patterns in morpheme usage and discusses how these patterns reflect the typological features of both languages.

The Role of Affixation in English and Uzbek Morphology. Affixation in English. In English, word formation largely relies on the addition of derivational and inflectional affixes. Derivational morphemes change the part of speech or meaning of a word, while inflectional morphemes mark grammatical categories like tense, aspect, and number. For example:

Happy → Unhappy (prefixation)

Teach → Teacher (suffixation)

Play → Played (inflection for past tense)

Cats (plural form of cat)

Inflectional affixes in English are relatively few in number, and they typically mark tense, aspect, number, and possession. For instance, the plural morpheme -s is added to countable nouns to mark number, and the past tense morpheme -ed is added to regular verbs to mark past tense. However, English morphology does not use a large variety of affixes, and word order plays a crucial role in conveying meaning.

1. **Affixation in Uzbek.** Uzbek, as an agglutinative language, employs a much more complex system of affixes. A single root word in Uzbek can take multiple suffixes to indicate various grammatical features, such as

tense, aspect, number, case, and possession. This allows for more compact expressions and greater syntactic flexibility compared to English.

For example, consider the following word formation: *Kitob (book) → Kitoblar (books) → Kitoblarni (the books – accusative case) → Kitoblarim (my books), Bolalar (children) → Bolalarim (my children) → Bolalarimni (my children – accusative case).*

In these examples, the word kitob (book) undergoes multiple transformations through suffixation. The plural suffix -lar indicates more than one book, the accusative case suffix -ni marks the direct object, and the possessive suffix -im shows ownership. This complex system of affixation enables Uzbek to express a variety of grammatical relationships within a single word, making the language more morphologically rich than English.

Statistical Distribution of Morphemes in English and Uzbek.

1. Frequency of Affixes in English. The frequency of affixes in English varies based on the type of affix. In a study of English corpora, the most commonly used inflectional affixes are those marking pluralization and tense. The suffix -s (plural) and -ed (past tense) appear frequently, as they are applied to a large number of nouns and verbs:

Dogs (plural of dog)

Walked (past tense of walk)

Running (present participle of run).

Derivational affixes, such as -er (agent noun) and -ness (nominalization), are also quite common, though they are less frequent than inflectional morphemes. For example:

Teacher (one who teaches)

Happiness (the state of being happy)

Despite the frequent use of inflectional and derivational affixes, English relies more heavily on word order and auxiliary verbs to convey meaning, which means that morphological processes are often simpler and less frequent than in agglutinative languages.

1. **Frequency of Affixes in Uzbek.** In Uzbek, the frequency of affixation is much higher due to the language's reliance on suffixes for expressing grammatical relationships. In fact, a single word in Uzbek can take multiple affixes to mark various grammatical categories. This results in a high frequency of affix usage compared to English. For instance, consider the following example:

Kitob (book) → Kitoblar (books) → Kitoblarim (my books) → Kitoblarimiz (our books)

The addition of multiple suffixes -lar (plural), -im (possessive), and -imiz (plural possessive) - demonstrates the agglutinative nature of Uzbek, where multiple pieces of information can be encoded within a single word. Additionally, suffixes can be stacked to indicate case markers, time, and aspect, creating more nuanced and compact word forms.

The frequency of these affixes varies depending on the sentence structure, but the overall affixation rate in Uzbek is higher than in English, as nearly every noun and verb can take multiple suffixes depending on the context.

Derivational vs. Inflectional Affixes. 1. Derivational Affixes in English. Derivational morphemes in English are used to create new words from existing ones, often changing the part of speech. Common derivational affixes include -er, -ly, -ness, and -able. These affixes allow English speakers to expand their vocabulary by forming new words with different meanings:

Write → Writer (one who writes)

Hate → Hateful (full of hate)

Happy → Happiness (state of being happy)

Read → Readable (able to be read)

These derivational morphemes contribute to the richness of English vocabulary, although the number of possible derivational affixes is limited compared to agglutinative languages like Uzbek.

1. **Derivational Affixes in Uzbek.** In Uzbek, derivational affixes are similarly used to create new words. However, the language's agglutinative

nature allows for a greater variety of affixes, which can be added to a single root word to create new terms. For example:

Yosh (young) → Yoshlar (youth) → Yoshlarimiz (our youth)

Oʻqish (study) → Oʻqishlar (studies) → Oʻqishlarimiz (our studies)

Uzbek uses a combination of derivational and inflectional morphemes to create complex words that can convey a range of meanings, from possession to pluralization and case marking.

Implications for Language. Learning and Computational Linguistics. The differences in morphological structures between English and Uzbek have significant implications for language learning and computational linguistics. For learners, English requires mastery of word order, auxiliary verbs, and relatively simple inflectional markers. In contrast, Uzbek learners must master a complex system of affixes, including case markers and possessive suffixes, which requires a deeper understanding of morphological relationships.

From a computational linguistics perspective, Uzbek presents greater challenges in terms of morphological analysis, as the language can generate many different forms of a single word. Morphological parsers for Uzbek must account for a wide range of affixes and their potential combinations.

English, by comparison, is simpler in this regard, as its morphology relies more on word order and auxiliary verbs.

Conclusion. This article has examined the statistical systems of morphology in English and Uzbek, highlighting the differences in affixation, word formation, and the use of derivational and inflectional morphemes. While English relies on a relatively simple system of affixes and word order, Uzbek uses an extensive system of suffixes to convey grammatical and semantic information. Understanding these differences is essential for linguists, language learners, and those working in fields like machine translation and computational linguistics, as it influences both language teaching strategies and computational models for natural language processing.

Reference

1. Bybee, J. L. (1985). Morphology: A Study of the Relation Between Meaning and Form. John Benjamins Publishing.

2. Comrie, B. (1989). Language Universals and Linguistic Typology. University of Chicago Press.

3. Kornfilt, J. (1997). Turkish Grammar. Routledge.

4. Mamadiyorova Mariyam Kosim kizi, & Bakhtiyorova Maftuna Bakhtiyorovna. (2025). PRAGMATIC AND STYLISTIC ASPECTS OF NEOLOGISMS IN CONTEMPORARY MEDIA AND THEIR IMPLICATIONS FOR VOCABULARY TEACHING. Journal of Universal Science Research, 3(1), 89–95. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.14674390 https://universalpublishings.com/index.php/jusr/article/view/9454

5. Mamadiyorova , M. ., & Bakhtiyorova , M. . (2025). PRAGMATIC AND STYLISTIC ASPECTS OF NEOLOGISMS IN MODERN ENGLISH (BASED ON MEDIA MATERIALS) AND THEIR ROLE IN TEACHING VOCABULARY. Центральноазиатский журнал междисциплинарных исследований и исследований в области управления, 2(1), 187–191. извлечено от https://in-academy.uz/index.php/cajmrms/article/view/43009

6. Mamadiyorova , M. ., & Bakhtiyorova , M. . (2025). PRAGMATIC AND STYLISTIC ASPECTS OF NEOLOGISMS IN MODERN ENGLISH (BASED ON MEDIA MATERIALS) AND THEIR ROLE IN TEACHING VOCABULARY. (2025). Journal of Science-Innovative Research in Uzbekistan, 3(1),217-221. https://universalpublishings.com/index.php/jsiru/article/view/9503

7. Sadullaeva, N., & Bakhtiyorova, M. (2021). Reflection of Onomastic Principles in Naming. Annals of the Romanian Society for Cell Biology, 3001- 3007.

8. Бахтиёрова, М. (2024). Atoqli otlarning umumnazariy muammolari. Зарубежная лингвистика и лингводидактика, 2(6), 40-45.

9. Ismoilov, A., & Bakhtiyorova, M. (2024). THE PROBLEM OF COMPONENTIAL ANALYSIS OF MEANING IN PRESENT DAY LEXICOLOGY. Current approaches and new research in modern sciences, 3(7), 26-29.

10. Alisher o'g'li, I. A., & Bakhtiyorovna, B. M. (2024, May). THE PROBLEM OF COMPONENTIAL ANALYSIS OF MEANING IN PRESENT DAY LEXICOLOGY. In Konferensiyalar| Conferences (Vol. 1, No. 10, pp. 748-752).

11. BAXTIYOROVA, M. (2024). ONOMASTIK KONSEPT TUSHUNCHASI. UzMU xabarlari, 1(1.4), 288-292. 14. Камолова, Р. Ш., & Бахтиярова, М. (2024). ЭМОЦИОНАЛЬНЫЕ КОННОТАЦИИ ПРИЛАГАТЕЛЬНЫХ, ОПИСЫВАЮЩИХ

ПОГОДУ. TA'LIM VA RIVOJLANISH TAHLILI ONLAYN ILMIY JURNALI, 4(1), 30-33.

12. Baxtiyorova, M. (2023). ONOMASTIKONLARNING LINGVOMADANIY XUSUSIYATLARI. Namangan davlat universiteti Ilmiy axborotnomasi, (9), 464-469.

13. Baxtiyorovna, B. M. (2023). INGLIZ VA O 'ZBEK BADIIY ADABIYOTIDA ONOMASTIKONLARNING CHOG'ISHTIRMA SEMANTIK TAHLILI. " GERMANY" MODERN SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH: ACHIEVEMENTS, INNOVATIONS AND DEVELOPMENT PROSPECTS, 9(1).

14. Baxtiyorova, M. (2023). INGLIZ VA O 'ZBEK BADIIY ADABIYOTIDA ASAR QAHRAMONLARI NOMLARINING MATN "JOURNAL OF SCIENCE-INNOVATIVE RESEARCH IN UZBEKISTAN" JURNALI VOLUME 3, ISSUE 01, 2025. YANUARY ResearchBib Impact Factor: 9.654/2024 ISSN 2992-8869 221 YARATISHDAGI ISHTIROKI. Namangan davlat universiteti Ilmiy axborotnomasi, (10), 268-273.

15. Bakhtiyorovna, B. M. (2022). Discursive-pragmatic nature of anthroponyms. Asian Journal Of Multidimensional Research, 11(9), 110-114.

16. Bakhtiyarova, M. B. (2021). VERBALIZATION OF THE CONCEPT" ONIM" IN LINGUOCOGNITOLOGY. Ростовский научный вестник, (3), 11- 12. 20. Baxtiyorova, M. B. (2020). ANTROPONIMLARNING SHAKLLANISHIDA MORFEMALARNING SEMANTIK VA USLUBIY XUSUSIYATLARI. Студенческий вестник, (36-3), 96-98.

17. Bakhtiyorova, M. (2019). THE EFFECT OF USING MNEMONICS. Студенческий вестник, (22-8), 63-65. 22. Pulatova, S., & Bakhtiyorova, M. (2019). THE STRUCTURALSEMANTIC ANALYSIS OF THE WORDS RELATED TO" SPORTS" IN PRESENT DAY ENGLISH. Студенческий вестник, (22-8), 69-71.

18. Bakhtiyorova, M., & Djumabayeva, J. (2017). WRITERS MAKE NATIONAL LITERATURE, WHILE TRANSLATORS MAKE UNIVERSAL LITERATURE. Студенческий вестник, (10), 55-56. 24. Bakhtiyorova, M., & Elmurodova, F. (2017). THE PRINCIPLES OF SEMANTICS. Студенческий вестник, (10), 52-54. 25. Bakhtiyorova, M., & Elmurodova, F. (2017). PAPERS IN ENGLISH. СТУДЕНЧЕСКИЙ ВЕСТНИК, 10, 52

19. Uzbek National Corpus (2024).

20. Matthews, P. H. (2007). The Concise Oxford Dictionary of Linguistics. Oxford University Press.

21. Tursunova, N. ., & Khushmonova , S. (2025). COMPARATIVE TYPOLOGY OF SENTENCE STRUCTURES IN ENGLISH AND UZBEK . *Центральноазиатский журнал междисциплинарных исследований и исследований в области управления*, *2*(1, Part 2), 92–95. извлечено от https://in-academy.uz/index.php/cajmrms/article/view/43814

22. Kodirova , V. ., & Bakhtiyorova, M. . (2025). ANALYZING TYPES OF ALLEGORY AND CLASSIFYING THEM . *Центральноазиатский журнал междисциплинарных исследований и исследований в области управления*, *2*(1, Part 2), 96–99. извлечено от https://in-academy.uz/index.php/cajmrms/article/view/43815

23. Kurbanova , C. ., & Fayzieva , K. . (2025). THE CHALLENGES OF REPRODUCING THE STYLISTIC DEVICE OF METAPHOR IN TRANSLATING ENGLISH FICTION . *Центральноазиатский журнал междисциплинарных исследований и исследований в области управления*, *2*(1, Part 2), 100–103. извлечено от https://in-academy.uz/index.php/cajmrms/article/view/43819