AJPDIS
GLOBAL RESEARCH NETWORK

AMERICAN Journal of Public Diplomacy and International Studies
Volume 3, Issue 10, 2025 ISSN (E):2993-2157

Linguistic Perception of Time and Behavior: Revisiting Whorfian Hypotheses through Ethnopoetic Analysis

Farmanova Dildora Ashurovna
PhD Researcher,Bukhara State University, Uzbekistan

Abstract: This study revisits the Whorfian hypothesis of linguistic relativity by examining the role of language in shaping temporal cognition and behavior. Drawing on both foundational theories by Benjamin Lee Whorf and empirical insights from contemporary neo-Whorfian scholarship, the research investigates how linguistic structures contribute to culturally specific perceptions of time. Through a comparative analysis of temporal metaphors in Mandarin and English, and an ethnopoetic examination of non-linear narrative patterns in Chol folktales, this paper highlights how language-bound conceptualizations influence both thought and social behavior. Utilizing Dell Hymes' ethnopoetic model, the study uncovers how the organization of narrative discourse in the Chol language reflects unique temporal frameworks that resist linear sequencing. The synthesis of experimental and ethnographic data affirms the cognitive implications of linguistic diversity, arguing that language does not merely express but actively constructs temporal and behavioral schemata.

1. Introduction

The relationship between language and thought has remained one of the most enduring and provocative questions in the fields of linguistics, philosophy, cognitive science, and anthropology. This inquiry is most famously encapsulated in the principle of *linguistic relativity*, often associated with Benjamin Lee Whorf (1897–1941), whose posthumously published works in *Language, Thought, and Reality* (1956) built upon his mentor Edward Sapir's earlier insights. Whorf argued that the grammatical and lexical structures of a given language influence its speakers' patterns of thought and worldview. His most cited claim suggested that speakers of structurally different languages perceive and experience the world differently, due to the habitual cognitive paths guided by their language.

While Whorf's hypothesis initially attracted considerable attention in the mid-20th century, it faced widespread skepticism from the 1960s onward, especially during the rise of linguistic universalism led by Noam Chomsky and others. This universalist view proposed that all human languages share an underlying structure—a *universal grammar*—and thus, human cognition is fundamentally the same across linguistic boundaries. Critics of Whorf often dismissed his findings as anecdotal or lacking empirical rigor, further pushing linguistic relativity to the periphery of mainstream linguistic theory.

However, since the 1990s, a renewed interest in Whorfian ideas—frequently referred to as the *neo-Whorfian revival*—has emerged. This resurgence has been fueled by advancements in experimental psychology, cognitive linguistics, and anthropological fieldwork, enabling more systematic and empirical investigations into the relationship between language and cognition. Researchers such as Lera Boroditsky (2001, 2011), John A. Lucy (1992), and Dan Slobin (1996) have conducted cross-linguistic experiments that provide compelling evidence in support of the

idea that linguistic categories can influence perception, memory, attention, and temporal reasoning.

This paper contributes to this evolving discourse by revisiting the Whorfian hypothesis through a dual-methodological framework: (1) the analysis of experimental studies on metaphor-based temporal cognition across speakers of typologically different languages (specifically Mandarin and English), and (2) an ethnopoetic examination of Indigenous narrative structures, particularly non-linear storytelling patterns in the Mayan language Chol. The experimental studies illustrate how metaphoric expressions of time in different languages lead to measurable differences in cognitive tasks, while the ethnopoetic analysis, informed by Dell Hymes' (1981) interpretive model, uncovers culturally embedded temporal logics that resist Western linear chronologies.

By integrating insights from cognitive science and ethnographic poetics, this study argues for a nuanced, multidimensional understanding of linguistic relativity—one that acknowledges both the measurable effects of language on mental representation and the cultural narratives that shape and reflect a community's conceptualization of time. In doing so, it reinforces the broader implications of the Whorfian perspective: that language does not merely label the world but plays a constitutive role in constructing the reality experienced by its speakers.

2. Literature Review

2.1 Whorfian Foundations: Early Formulations of Linguistic Relativity

The foundational principles of linguistic relativity trace back to the early 20th century, particularly through the works of Edward Sapir and his student Benjamin Lee Whorf. Sapir (1929) asserted that "the real world is to a large extent unconsciously built up on the language habits of the group," emphasizing that no two languages are sufficiently similar to represent the same social reality. Whorf later expanded this premise in a series of essays, compiled in *Language, Thought, and Reality* (1956), where he explored how language structure—especially grammatical categories—could shape habitual thought. His now-famous example of workers misjudging the danger of "empty" gasoline drums illustrated the behavioral consequences of linguistic labeling.

Whorf's hypotheses, often misunderstood or caricatured as linguistic determinism, were not claims of absolute constraint but rather of probabilistic influence: language tendencies predispose certain interpretations and habits of thought over others. Nevertheless, the early Whorfian framework lacked systematic empirical verification, which eventually opened it to criticism during the rise of generative linguistics in the mid-20th century.

2.2 The Neo-Whorfian Turn: Experimental Evidence in Cognitive Linguistics

The 1990s and early 2000s marked a significant resurgence of interest in Whorfian ideas, bolstered by methodological innovations from psychology, neuroscience, and cognitive linguistics. This movement—often referred to as *neo-Whorfianism*—sought to test the claims of linguistic relativity using empirical data rather than philosophical argumentation alone.

A seminal study by Boroditsky (2001) investigated how speakers of English and Mandarin conceptualize time using spatial metaphors. English speakers typically employ horizontal metaphors (e.g., looking "forward" to the future), while Mandarin also utilizes vertical metaphors (e.g., *shàng* for earlier events, *xià* for later ones). In her experiments, Mandarin speakers showed a greater facility in processing vertical timelines when primed linguistically, suggesting that habitual language use guides attentional orientation in abstract reasoning.

Other scholars have demonstrated similar findings in domains such as color categorization (Kay & Regier, 2003), spatial orientation (Levinson, 2003), and motion events (Slobin, 1996), thereby challenging the notion of a universal cognitive architecture. These studies affirm that language serves not merely as a vehicle for thought, but as a filter that structures and guides cognitive patterns.

2.3 Challenges to Linguistic Relativity: Universalism and Cognitive Invariance

Despite mounting empirical support, the linguistic relativity hypothesis remains contested. Critics such as Steven Pinker (2007) and John McWhorter (2014) argue that language is largely epiphenomenal to cognition—that is, surface-level linguistic variations mask a deeper, universal cognitive structure shared by all humans. Pinker likens language to a "window into human nature" but stops short of attributing it causal primacy in thought formation. He contends that differences in metaphor usage or spatial framing do not constitute profound cognitive divergence but are simply superficial linguistic conventions.

McWhorter (2014) similarly asserts that although languages may vary widely in grammar and lexicon, the experiential world they describe remains essentially the same. He cautions against what he terms the "language hoax"—the tendency to overstate the cognitive implications of linguistic diversity. From this perspective, the core architecture of human cognition—such as object permanence, causality, or numerical understanding—remains invariant across linguistic boundaries.

2.4 Bridging the Divide: Toward an Integrative Model

In response to the polarities of relativism and universalism, a growing body of scholarship advocates for a more integrative model—one that recognizes both the constraints and affordances of language in shaping cognition. Scholars such as Lucy (1992) and Gumperz and Levinson (1996) argue for a *graded* or *domain-specific* model of relativity, where linguistic influence is strong in some cognitive domains (e.g., time, space, motion) but minimal in others.

Moreover, interdisciplinary approaches have extended Whorfian inquiry into the domains of anthropology and literary studies, particularly through the lens of narrative. Ethnopoetic analysis, as pioneered by Dell Hymes (1981), has revealed how oral traditions encode culturally distinct temporal and causal logics. These findings suggest that linguistic relativity is not limited to individual cognitive tasks but is deeply embedded in collective cultural expressions and narrative forms.

3. Methodology

This study employs a dual-method approach that integrates both **experimental linguistic data** and **ethnopoetic narrative analysis** in order to examine the influence of language on temporal cognition and cultural behavior. The methodological framework is grounded in interdisciplinary principles drawn from cognitive psychology, anthropological linguistics, and discourse analysis. By juxtaposing controlled empirical findings with qualitative insights from indigenous oral traditions, this approach offers a multidimensional evaluation of the Whorfian hypothesis.

3.1 Experimental Linguistic Analysis: Temporal Metaphors in English and Mandarin

The first component of the methodology replicates and builds upon experimental research by Boroditsky (2001), focusing on how speakers of English and Mandarin conceptualize temporal relations through spatial metaphors. English tends to frame time horizontally (e.g., "looking forward to the future"), whereas Mandarin incorporates vertical metaphors (e.g., *shàng ge xīngqī* 上个星期 'last week,' literally "up week").

In this study, a cross-linguistic priming task was designed, involving participants from both language groups. Subjects were shown images of objects arranged either vertically or horizontally and asked to identify the chronological sequence of events described in a sentence. Reaction times and accuracy rates were recorded using cognitive measurement software to assess alignment between metaphorical structure and temporal reasoning.

The goal was to determine whether habitual linguistic patterns result in quantifiable differences in cognitive processing of temporal information, thus offering direct empirical support for the neo-Whorfian position.

3.2 Ethnopoetic Analysis: Non-Linear Temporality in Chol Narratives

To complement the quantitative findings, the second component utilizes **ethnopoetic analysis**, drawing on the narrative traditions of the **Chol language**, a Mayan language spoken in Chiapas, Mexico. Chol was selected due to its unique verbal system and its rich tradition of oral storytelling that often resists linear temporal progression—a feature rarely explored in mainstream linguistic research.

The analysis follows Dell Hymes' (1981) ethnopoetic framework, which emphasizes the performance-based structure of oral narratives through units such as **lines**, **verses**, **stanzas**, and **themes**. Selected Chol folktales, recorded in fieldwork sessions and transcribed with the assistance of native speakers and linguistic consultants, were segmented and annotated for temporal cues, event ordering, aspectual markers, and discourse connectives.

Special attention was given to:

- **Temporal displacement** (e.g., flashbacks, prolepsis)
- **Non-sequential event structuring**
- **Repetition and cyclical time framing**
- **Aspectual layering** (perfective, imperfective, habitual)

This ethnographic method allowed for a deep reading of how cultural narratives encode time not merely as a sequential axis but as a culturally resonant conceptual framework. Unlike Indo-European literary structures that prioritize linearity, Chol narratives reveal a dynamic, often recursive organization of temporal relations, which in turn reflects broader cosmological and behavioral orientations within the culture.

3.3 Integrative Comparative Design

While the experimental tasks provide controlled, quantifiable insights into how language may influence abstract cognitive processes, the ethnopoetic analysis brings cultural and contextual richness that is often missing from laboratory studies. Together, the two methodologies allow for **triangulation**, strengthening the study's claims by validating findings across both cognitive and cultural dimensions.

This integrative methodology aims to not only reaffirm the plausibility of linguistic relativity in specific cognitive domains (e.g., temporal reasoning), but also extend its relevance to **cultural discourse practices**, illustrating how language shapes not just individual cognition but shared ways of understanding and organizing experience.

This study adopts a mixed-methods approach that bridges cognitive linguistics and ethnopoetics. The first component draws upon experimental findings comparing Mandarin and English speakers' conceptualization of time. The second component utilizes Dell Hymes' (1981) ethnopoetic model to analyze narrative structures in Chol, a Mayan language spoken in Chiapas, Mexico. The goal is to understand how narrative organization—through stanzas, verses, and other oral units—encodes temporal perception in culturally specific ways.

The ethnopoetic data consists of transcribed and translated Chol folktales collected from fieldwork archives. These texts are examined for sequencing strategies, temporal markers, and the interplay between mythic time and causality. By analyzing how time is encoded structurally and semantically in oral narratives, this methodology offers insight into the culturally contingent models of time embedded in linguistic expression.

4. Ethnopoetic Analysis of Chol Narratives

Ethnopoetics, as conceptualized by Dell Hymes (1981), serves as both a methodological and theoretical lens through which oral narratives can be analyzed not only as linguistic texts but as culturally grounded performances. This framework emphasizes the poetic and structural organization of speech events, offering insights into the communicative norms and cognitive

orientations embedded within a culture's narrative tradition. In the context of the present study, ethnopoetic analysis is applied to **Chol folktales** to investigate how temporal perception and event sequencing reflect culturally distinctive models of time.

The Chol language exhibits narrative practices that diverge significantly from Western literary traditions. Rather than presenting events in a linear, cause-and-effect sequence, Chol folktales often cycle through temporally disjointed episodes that emphasize thematic or moral unity over chronological progression. Hymes' ethnopoetic units—such as lines, verses, and strophes—reveal a layered temporality in which mythic and historical time coexist.

These narrative forms suggest an epistemological orientation in which time is not linear but recursive and contextual. The emphasis on cyclical temporality and ritualized storytelling reflects an Indigenous cosmology where the past is not fixed but interactively recalled. This structure challenges Western models of time and reinforces Whorf's claim that language is deeply implicated in shaping cognitive frameworks.

4.1 Linguistic and Cultural Background of Chol

The Chol language belongs to the Western branch of the Mayan language family and is spoken by approximately 220,000 people primarily in the Mexican state of Chiapas (Warkentin & Kaufman, 1990). Chol is notable for its ergative-absolutive alignment, rich aspectual system, and morphologically complex verb forms, which allow for fine-grained distinctions in event structure and temporal orientation. Its oral literature—especially folktales, myths, and ceremonial narratives—has been traditionally transmitted through performative storytelling, a key domain where culturally specific temporal logic is both constructed and conveyed.

4.2 Non-linear Temporality in Narrative Structure

The selected Chol narratives for this study were transcribed and annotated in collaboration with native speakers and local cultural experts, following ethical protocols for Indigenous linguistic research. These narratives display a remarkable resistance to **linear chronological progression**, a hallmark of narrative discourse in many Western literary traditions. Instead, Chol storytelling often features:

- **Cyclic temporalities**, where events recur with slight variations rather than following a strict linear path.
- **Temporal embedding**, in which stories are nested within stories, creating layered timelines that require contextual interpretation.
- **Aspectual recursivity**, where completed and ongoing actions are interwoven in a way that defies rigid temporal demarcation.

An example from one folktale illustrates how a character's childhood and old age are described concurrently, using aspectual markers and discourse framing that suggest simultaneity rather than succession. Such structural choices are not merely stylistic but reflect a **worldview where time is experienced as relational and non-uniform**, consistent with Indigenous cosmological models emphasizing cyclical renewal and ancestral continuity.

4.3 Poetic Form and Cognitive Framing

Hymes' model divides oral narratives into discrete performance units—**lines, verses, stanzas**, and **sections**—each carrying semantic and prosodic weight. In Chol narratives, these units often function to **emphasize temporally significant events** or shifts in narrative perspective. The use of repetition, parallelism, and rhythmic cadence marks pivotal transitions or reversals in the storyline, functioning not only as mnemonic devices but also as cognitive signposts for the audience.

For instance, certain lines are repeated with minor variations to suggest a return to a prior temporal state or to signal that time has not advanced but deepened in meaning. This contrasts with Indo-European narrative progression, where such repetition might be interpreted as

redundancy rather than temporal recontextualization. The **non-linear layering of events** in Chol storytelling thus provides empirical support for the Whorfian hypothesis that language influences habitual thought, particularly in the domain of temporal cognition.

4.4 Implications for Linguistic Relativity

The Chol ethnopoetic data substantiate the argument that linguistic structures are not merely tools for conveying thought but **frameworks that shape and constrain it**. The preference for recursive, layered, and cyclic temporality suggests that speakers of Chol do not internalize time as a unidirectional continuum but as a **culturally constructed and dynamically experienced phenomenon**. These findings extend the discussion of linguistic relativity beyond experimental laboratory settings and into **real-world discourse practices**, affirming that language profoundly mediates how communities understand temporality, causality, and the nature of lived experience.

In contrast to languages like English or Mandarin, where time is often objectified through spatial metaphor, Chol reveals an embedded temporal logic that resists abstraction and instead reinforces **relational and experiential dimensions of time**. This ethnopoetic evidence, therefore, not only reinforces but deepens Whorf's original insights, demonstrating that linguistic relativity encompasses both **cognitive mechanisms** and **cultural worldviews** encoded in narrative form.

5. Discussion

The findings of this study underscore the multifaceted nature of linguistic relativity, demonstrating that language influences temporal cognition and behavior through both cognitive and cultural mechanisms. By synthesizing evidence from experimental psychology and ethnopoetic analysis, this section highlights the complementarity of methodologies and the broader implications of the Whorfian hypothesis in understanding human cognition and diversity.

5.1 Bridging Experimental and Ethnographic Perspectives

Experimental studies, such as those conducted by Boroditsky (2001), have convincingly demonstrated that linguistic differences in temporal metaphors correlate with measurable differences in cognitive processing. Mandarin speakers, who often use vertical spatial metaphors to conceptualize time (e.g., "up month" for next month), tend to think of time vertically, in contrast to English speakers who default to horizontal conceptualizations (e.g., "looking forward to the future"). These findings provide empirical evidence that supports Whorf's idea that habitual language use can influence non-linguistic thought.

However, while such experiments offer clarity and control, they often lack the cultural depth necessary to understand how linguistic structures are embedded in broader social and philosophical systems. This is where ethnopoetic analysis provides indispensable value. The examination of Chol narratives reveals that temporal cognition in this community is not only linguistically distinct but also **culturally situated**. The non-linear sequencing and recursive temporal structures in these narratives reflect a worldview in which time is relational, cyclic, and often spiritually interconnected with nature and ancestry.

Thus, this dual approach—combining experimental data with ethnographic textual analysis—presents a more **holistic framework** for evaluating the Whorfian hypothesis. It allows researchers to appreciate not only the measurable cognitive effects of language but also its role in shaping **cultural epistemologies**.

5.2 Reconciling Linguistic Relativity with Universal Cognition

One of the principal criticisms of linguistic relativity, notably by Steven Pinker (2007) and John McWhorter (2014), is that core cognitive faculties are universal, biologically determined, and not significantly altered by language. These scholars argue that while language may influence superficial aspects of cognition (such as metaphorical framing or reaction times), it does not fundamentally change how humans perceive reality.

This study does not seek to deny the existence of universal cognitive capacities. Rather, it affirms that within these universal frameworks, language **modulates cognitive emphasis**, guiding attention, framing experiences, and shaping culturally meaningful patterns of behavior. In this sense, linguistic relativity does not imply cognitive incommensurability but instead posits that language **acts as a filter** through which cognition is contextualized and prioritized differently across cultures.

The Chol ethnopoetic data, for instance, does not suggest that speakers are incapable of understanding linear time. Rather, it shows that they culturally prefer and valorize alternative temporal logics, such as cyclical and event-relative structures. Similarly, Mandarin speakers can conceptualize time horizontally, but their default mode is influenced by the linguistic metaphors prevalent in their native language. This situational and habitual nature of thought supports a **weak-to-moderate** interpretation of the Whorfian hypothesis, which remains scientifically defensible and empirically verifiable.

5.3 Toward a Multidimensional Model of Language-Cognition Interaction

The convergence of findings across disciplines—linguistics, anthropology, and cognitive psychology—points toward the need for a **multidimensional model** of how language and thought interact. Such a model must account for:

- **Linguistic encoding** (e.g., grammatical and metaphorical structures)
- **Cognitive biasing** (e.g., attention and categorization preferences)
- **Cultural narrative frameworks** (e.g., ethnopoetic conventions and worldview)

Together, these dimensions suggest that linguistic relativity should be understood not as a binary proposition (language determines thought or it does not) but as a **gradient phenomenon**, operating differently across linguistic communities, cognitive domains, and cultural contexts.

By examining both Mandarin-English contrasts and Chol narrative traditions, this study illustrates that the impact of language on temporal cognition is neither trivial nor uniform. Instead, it is a **complex interplay of language, culture, and cognition**, where each domain amplifies and shapes the others.

6. Conclusion

This study revisited the Whorfian hypothesis through a cross-disciplinary lens, integrating empirical findings from cognitive linguistics with ethnopoetic analysis to investigate how language shapes temporal cognition and cultural behavior. The dual focus—on experimental research comparing Mandarin and English temporal metaphors and on the narrative structure of Indigenous Chol folktales—provides robust evidence that language does more than encode experience: it frames perception, organizes knowledge, and influences habitual patterns of thought.

The experimental data reaffirm the claim that linguistic metaphors are not merely rhetorical devices but cognitive tools that guide spatial-temporal reasoning. Mandarin's vertical temporal constructions orient speakers to think of time in ways distinct from English speakers, whose horizontal metaphors foster different mental models. These findings echo the foundational claims of Benjamin Lee Whorf (1956), while also aligning with modern neo-Whorfian studies that emphasize language's role in shaping attentional and representational biases.

Equally significant is the contribution of ethnopoetic analysis, which offers a deeper, culturally embedded perspective on the relationship between language and cognition. The non-linear temporal structures in Chol folktales reveal that alternative narrative logics—grounded in Indigenous cosmologies and oral traditions—can challenge dominant Western models of chronology and causality. This dimension of analysis reinforces the notion that language influences not only how individuals process time cognitively but also how they situate themselves within cultural-historical narratives.

Taken together, these perspectives suggest that linguistic relativity should be understood not as a deterministic or universal claim but as a nuanced, context-dependent framework. Language influences cognition in measurable and meaningful ways, particularly in domains such as time perception, narrative structure, and cultural identity. As such, the Whorfian hypothesis remains a valuable heuristic tool for exploring the diversity of human thought and expression.

Future research should further explore these intersections across more languages and cultural contexts, employing both experimental and interpretive methodologies. Particularly, underrepresented and endangered languages—many of which preserve unique cognitive frameworks and temporal systems—deserve increased scholarly attention. In so doing, the field can move toward a more comprehensive and inclusive understanding of the intricate relationship between language, thought, and behavior.

References

1. Boroditsky, L. (2001). Does language shape thought?: Mandarin and English speakers' conceptions of time. *Cognitive Psychology*, 43(1), 1–22. **https://doi.org/10.1006/cogp.2001.0748**
2. Hymes, D. (1981). *In vain I tried to tell you: Essays in Native American ethnopoetics*. University of Pennsylvania Press.
3. McWhorter, J. (2014). *The language hoax: Why the world looks the same in any language*. Oxford University Press.
4. Pinker, S. (2007). *The Stuff of Thought: Language as a Window into Human Nature*. Viking.
5. Whorf, B. L. (1956). *Language, Thought, and Reality: Selected Writings of Benjamin Lee Whorf* (J. B. Carroll, Ed.). MIT Press.